

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Discrete Mathematics 270 (2003) 43–60

---



---

**DISCRETE  
MATHEMATICS**


---



---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/disc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/disc)

# Splitting the shadow

A. Bonnetaze<sup>a</sup>, Y. Choie<sup>b,1</sup>, S.T. Dougherty<sup>c</sup>, P. Solé<sup>d</sup><sup>a</sup>*IAAI et Laboratoire LIF, Centre de Mathématique et Informatique, 39 rue Joliot-Curie,  
F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France*<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, South Korea*<sup>c</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Scranton, Scranton, PA 18510, USA*<sup>d</sup>*CNRS-I3S, ESSI, Route des Colles, 06 903 Sophia Antipolis, France*

Received 13 July 2001; received in revised form 23 August 2002; accepted 21 October 2002

---

## Abstract

We derive formulae for the theta series of the two translates of the even sublattice  $L_0$  of an odd unimodular lattice  $L$  that constitute the shadow of  $L$ . The proof rests on special evaluations of the Jacobi theta series attached to  $L$  and to a certain vector. We produce an analogous theorem for codes. Additionally, we construct non-linear formally self-dual codes and relate them to lattices. © 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Jacobi forms; Unimodular lattices; Self-dual codes; Shadows

---

## 1. Introduction

The shadow of a lattice has received some attention since the landmark paper [6], where it was employed to derive upper bounds on the minimum norm of unimodular odd lattices. The shadow of a code was described in [5] and numerous papers have generalized these results. In [5], a careful study of congruence properties of norms of vectors led to extension constructions for unimodular lattices and self-dual codes. Building on these latter results, in the present note we derive closed formulae for the theta series of the two translates of the even sublattice  $L_0$  of an odd unimodular lattice  $L$ , that constitute the shadow of  $L$ . These formulae can be made more explicit in the case of a lattice obtained via Construction  $A_{2k}$  from a code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ . In a similar

---

<sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by the COM<sup>2</sup>MAC research fund.

*E-mail addresses:* [alexis.bonnetaze@mpi13.net](mailto:alexis.bonnetaze@mpi13.net) (A. Bonnetaze), [yjc@postech.ac.kr](mailto:yjc@postech.ac.kr) (Y. Choie), [dougherty1@uofs.edu](mailto:dougherty1@uofs.edu) (S.T. Dougherty), [ps@essi.fr](mailto:ps@essi.fr) (P. Solé).

manner we derive an analogous theorem for self-dual codes over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$ . An important tool is the Jacobi theta series introduced in [10] and studied further in [4].

## 2. Definitions and notations

### 2.1. Lattices

An  $n$ -dimensional lattice is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We attach the standard inner-product, i.e. for vectors  $x$  and  $y$

$$x \cdot y = \sum x_i y_i.$$

The norm of  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is  $x \cdot x$ . The dual  $L^*$  of a lattice  $L$  is defined as

$$L^* := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \forall x \in L, x \cdot y \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

A lattice is *unimodular* if it is equal to its dual. A unimodular lattice is Type II if all its vectors have even norms, Type I otherwise. Consider a Type I lattice  $L$ . Let  $L_0$  denote the sublattice of even norm vectors of  $L$  and  $L_2$  its unique non-trivial coset in  $L$ . Call further  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  the other two cosets of  $L_0$  in  $L_0^*$ . The unique non-trivial coset of  $L$  in  $L_0^*$  is called the *shadow* of  $L$  (denoted by  $S$ ) and is equal to  $L_1 \cup L_3$ .

### 2.2. Theta series

The ordinary theta series of a lattice  $L$  is

$$\theta_L(\tau) := \sum_{x \in L} q^{x \cdot x},$$

where  $q = \exp(i\pi\tau)$ , with  $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ .

The *Jacobi theta series* attached to a lattice  $L$  and a vector  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is

$$\theta_{L,y}(\tau, z) := \sum_{x \in L} q^{x \cdot x} \xi^{y \cdot x},$$

where  $q$  is as before and  $\xi = \exp(2\pi iz)$ , with  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ . For each  $k$  and  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$  put

$$t_i(\tau, z) = \sum_{r \equiv i \pmod{2k}} q^{r^2/2k} \xi^{zr},$$

where  $q$  and  $\xi$  are as before and let  $T_i(\tau) = t_i(\tau, 0)$ . Further, for any real  $a$  let

$$t_{i,a}(\tau, z) := t_i(\tau, az).$$

### 2.3. $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$ -codes

A linear code over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$  is a submodule of  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}^n$ . We attach the standard inner product to the space, that is  $[v, w] = \sum v_i w_i$ . The dual  $C^\perp$  is understood with respect to this inner product. A code is *self-dual* if it is equal to its dual. The Euclidean weight of a

vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{x_i^2, (2k - x_i)^2\}$ . A code is Type II if all vectors in the code have Euclidean weights which are  $0 \pmod{4k}$  and Type I otherwise. If  $C$  is a Type I code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  and  $C_0$  is the subcode of vectors whose Euclidean weight is  $0 \pmod{4k}$  then  $C_2 = C - C_0$  and the shadow is  $C_0^\perp - C = C_1 \cup C_3$ , see [1] for a complete description.

We shall recall the standard  $A_{2k}$  construction of a lattice from a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ . Define the reduction modulo  $2k$ , by  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n$ , by

$$\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \pmod{2k}, \dots, x_n \pmod{2k}).$$

Given a code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  we construct a lattice by

$$\Lambda(C) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \rho(x) \in C\}. \quad (1)$$

It is shown in [1] that if  $C$  is a Type I code then  $\Lambda(C)$  is a Type I unimodular lattice, and that if  $C$  is a Type II code then  $\Lambda(C)$  is a Type II unimodular lattice and that the minimum norm of the lattice is  $\min\{2k, d_E/2k\}$ , where  $d_E$  is the minimum Euclidean weight of the code. Moreover, it is shown that the image of the shadow under  $\Lambda$  is the shadow of the image, see [8] for a complete explanation of the connection between shadow codes and shadow lattices.

A special code we shall use later is the even code  $E_n$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  which is defined as  $E_n := 2\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ . Its complete weight enumerator (defined below) is

$$\text{cwe}_{E_n} = (x_0 + x_2)^n.$$

## 2.4. Weight enumerators

Define the complete weight enumerator for a code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  by

$$\text{cwe}_C(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \sum A_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}} x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}}, \quad (2)$$

where there are  $A_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}}$  vectors with  $a_i$  coordinates with an  $i$ . The symmetric weight enumerator is

$$\text{swe}_C(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \sum A_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k} x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_k^{a_k}, \quad (3)$$

where there are  $A_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k}$  vectors with  $a_i$  coordinates with an  $\pm i$ . The Hamming weight enumerator is given by  $H_C(x, y) = \text{swe}(x, y, y, \dots, y)$ . The minimum Euclidean and Hamming weights of a code are denoted by  $d_E$  and  $d_H$ . The Lee weight of a vector over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is the sum of the Lee weights of each component. The elements have Lee weight corresponding to their binary image under the gray map, specifically, 0, 1, 2, 3 have Lee weight 0, 1, 2, and 1, respectively. The minimum Lee weight of a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  code is denoted  $d_{\text{Lee}}$ .

We introduce the following weight enumerator. For a code  $C$  and a vector  $y$  define

$$J_{C,y} = \sum_{c \in C} x_{i,j}^{n_{ij}(c)}, \quad (4)$$

where  $n_{ij}(c)$  is the number of coordinates that have an  $i$  in  $c$  and a  $j$  in  $y$ .

Observe that for  $c \in C$ ,

$$c \cdot y = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij}(c)ij.$$

### 3. Evaluations

#### 3.1. Lattices

We shall state the main result of this section and then give the necessary lemmas to prove this theorem. The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L$  be an odd unimodular lattice of dimension  $n$ . Let  $L_0$  denote the sublattice of even norm vectors with  $L_2$  the unique non-trivial coset in  $L$ , and let  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  be the other two cosets in  $L_0^*$  with the shadow  $S = L_1 \cup L_3$ . Set*

$$\mu_n(\tau) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right).$$

*Let  $y$  denote an arbitrary element of  $L_1$ . Then if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  then the theta series  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_3$  of  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  evaluate as*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Theta_1(\tau) &= \left(\frac{i}{\tau}\right)^{n/2} \left( \theta_L \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \mu_n(\tau) \theta_{L,y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \right), \\ 2\Theta_3(\tau) &= \left(\frac{i}{\tau}\right)^{n/2} \left( \theta_L \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\right) - \mu_n(\tau) \theta_{L,y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  then*

$$\Theta_1(\tau) = \Theta_3(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \theta_S(\tau).$$

We prepare for the proof by a pair of lemmata. First we note the immediate.

**Lemma 1.**  $\Theta_1(\tau) + \Theta_3(\tau) = (i/\tau)^{n/2} \theta_L(1 - 1/\tau).$

**Proof.** We express  $\theta_S(\tau)$  in two ways by  $S = L_1 \cup L_3$  and by Conway and Sloane [7, (4) p. 440], that is

$$\theta_{L_0^*}(\tau) - \theta_L(\tau) = \left(\frac{i}{\tau}\right)^{n/2} \theta_L \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\right). \quad \square \tag{5}$$

We proceed by generalizing [7, (4) p. 440] from the theta series to the Jacobi theta series. That is, we express the Jacobi theta series of the shadow as a function of the Jacobi theta series of the lattice.

**Lemma 2.** For a Type I unimodular lattice  $L$  and any vector  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we have

$$\theta_{S,y}(\tau, z) = \left(\frac{i}{\tau}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-i\pi \frac{z^2(y \cdot y)}{\tau}\right) \theta_{L,y}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right).$$

**Proof.** First we express  $\theta_{L_0,y}$  as a function of  $\theta_{L,y}$ .

$$\theta_{L_0,y}(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{L,y}(\tau, z) + \theta_{L,y}(\tau + 1, z)).$$

Then we use the Poisson Jacobi formula [4,10] to express  $\theta_{L_0,y}$  as a function of  $\theta_{L_0^*,y}$  and  $\theta_{L,y}$  as a function of  $\theta_{L^*,y}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

We can now sketch a proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof.** We compute  $\Theta_1 - \Theta_3$  by splitting the range of summation in the defining equation of  $\theta_{S,y}(\tau, 1)$  and using the tables for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  in [8] which give the orthogonality relations between the cosets  $L_i$ , to observe that the power of  $\xi$  is a constant for  $x \in L_i$  and  $y \in L_1$ . The value of  $\theta_{S,y}(\tau, 1)$  can then be obtained from Lemma 2.

Since by Lemma 1 we know  $\Theta_1 + \Theta_3$  we conclude by solving a system of two equations in two unknowns,  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ .

For the cases when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  we have that the glue group is the cyclic group of order 4, and that  $L_1 = -L_3$ . It follows that these theta series are equal.  $\square$

### 3.2. Codes

Throughout this section let  $C$  be a Type I code and  $C_0$  its subcode of doubly even vectors, and  $C_2 = C - C_0$  with  $S = C_0^\perp - C = C_1 \cup C_3$ . Let  $\zeta_g$  denote a  $g$ -th root of unity. The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a  $2k \times 2k$  matrix with

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \zeta_{4k}^{i^2 + ij}.$$

We shall now give an analog to Theorem 1 for codes over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $C$  be a Type I code of length  $n$ . Let  $C_0$  denote the subcode of even vectors with  $C_2$  the unique non-trivial coset in  $C$ , and let  $C_1$  and  $C_3$  be the other two cosets in  $C_0^\perp$  with shadow  $C_1 \cup C_3$ . Let  $y$  denote a constant vector of  $C_1$ . Then if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  then the complete weight enumerators of  $C_1$  and  $C_3$  evaluate as

$$2\text{cwe}_{C_1}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1})) + (-1)^{n/2} J_{S,y}(\zeta_{2k}^{ij} x_{i,j}), \quad (6)$$

$$2\text{cwe}_{C_3}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1})) - (-1)^{n/2} J_{S,y}(\zeta_{2k}^{ij} x_{i,j}). \quad (7)$$

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  then

$$\text{swe}_{C_1}(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \text{swe}_{C_3}(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{2} \text{swe}_S(x_0, \dots, x_k).$$

We have the following analog to Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $C$  be a Type I code and  $A$  the matrix as defined above, then

$$\text{cwe}_C(A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1})) = \text{cwe}_{C_1}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) + \text{cwe}_{C_3}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}).$$

**Proof.** We express  $\text{cwe}_S(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1})$  in two ways by  $S = C_1 \cup C_3$  and by Bannai et al. [1, Theorem 6.2, p. 1201], that is

$$\text{cwe}_S(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1})). \quad \square \quad (8)$$

Consider the polynomial  $J_{C,y} = \sum_{c \in C} x_{ij}^{n_{ij}(c)}$ . We note that for  $c \in C$ ,  $c \cdot y = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij}(c)ij$ , and that this product is constant for  $c \in C_0$ ,  $y \in C_1$  and  $c \in C_0$ ,  $y \in C_1$ . Hence, it is most useful when  $y \in S$ , the shadow of the code.

From [1] (corrected in [3]) we have

$$J_{S,y}(X_{ij}) = \frac{1}{|C|} (T \otimes I) \cdot J_{C,y}(X_{\phi(\mathbf{a})}), \quad (9)$$

where  $T_{a,b} = (\zeta_{4k}^{ab})^{ab}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{2k}$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{a}) = \zeta_{4k}^{b^2}(a, b)$  with  $\mathbf{a} = (a, b)$ .

Let  $y \in S$  and substitute  $X_{ij} = z^{ij}x_{i,j}$  in  $J_{S,y}(X_{ij})$ . Splitting the range of summation we have

$$J_{S,y}(z^{ij}x_{i,j}) = z^{c_1 \cdot y} \text{cwe}_{C_1}(x_{i,j}) + z^{c_3 \cdot y} \text{cwe}_{C_3}(x_{i,j}), \quad (10)$$

where  $c_i \cdot y$  represents the constant inner product of  $y$  with an element of  $C_i$ . Note that it was imperative that  $y$  be a constant vector for Eq. (10) to hold.

Using the tables in [8] which give the orthogonality relations between the cosets  $C_i$ , we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $C$  be a Type I code then,

$$\text{cwe}_{C_1}(x_{i,j}) - \text{cwe}_{C_3}(x_{i,j}) = (-1)^{n/2} J_{S,y}(\zeta_{2k}^{ij} x_{i,j}). \quad (11)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows directly from the previous lemmata and that fact that when  $n$  is odd,  $C_1 = -C_3$ .

We give an elementary example of Theorem 2. Let  $C = E_2 = \{(00), (22), (20), (02)\}$ . Then  $C_0 = \{(00), (22)\}$ ,  $C_2 = \{(02), (20)\}$ ,  $C_1 = \{(11), (33)\}$ , and  $C_3 = \{(13), (31)\}$ . Then  $W_{C_1} = x_1^2 + x_3^2$  and  $W_{C_3} = 2x_1x_3$ . Choose  $y = (11)$ . We have  $J_{S,y}(X_{ij}) = x_{11}^2 + x_{13}^2 + 2x_{11}x_{13}$ , then  $J_{S,y}(\sqrt{-1}x_{i,j}) = -x_1^2 - x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(A(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)) - J_{S,y}(\sqrt{-1}x_{i,j}) \\ &= x_1^2 + x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3 + x_1^2 + x_3^2 - 2x_1x_3 \\ &= 2x_1^2 + 2x_3^2 = 2W_{C_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(A(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)) - J_{S,y}(\sqrt{-1}x_{i,j}) \\ &= x_1^2 + x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3 - x_1^2 - x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3 \\ &= 4x_1x_3 = 2W_{C_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if vector (13) is used then the theorem does not hold since it is not a constant vector.

## 4. Applications

### 4.1. Construction $A_{2k}$

Theorem 1 can only be useful if we know how to compute  $\theta_{S,y}$ . Following [7] we shall denote by  $[a]$  the vector

$$[a] = (a/2, \dots, a/2).$$

We shall require the following result from [4].

**Lemma 5** (Choie and Kim [4]). *If  $L$  is a Type I lattice obtained by Construction  $A_{2k}$  from a code  $C$  then*

$$\theta_{L,[a]}(\tau, z) = \text{cwe}_C(t_{0,a}(\tau, z), t_{1,a}(\tau, z), t_{2,a}(\tau, z), \dots, t_{2k-1,a}(\tau, z)).$$

Combining this lemma with Theorem 1 we obtain

**Theorem 3.** *With the notations of Theorem 1 we have for a Type I lattice, whose shadow contains  $[a]$ , the following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Theta_1(\tau) &= \left(\frac{i}{\tau}\right)^{n/2} \left( \text{cwe}_C \left( T_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), T_1 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. T_2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \dots, T_{2k-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \right) + \mu_n \text{cwe}_C \left( t_{0,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. t_{1,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \dots, t_{2k-1,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \right) \right), \\ 2\Theta_3(\tau) &= \left( \text{cwe}_C \left( T_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), T_1 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), T_2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \dots, T_{2k-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mu_n \text{cwe}_C \left( t_{0,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right), t_{1,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \dots, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. t_{2k-1,a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2. Shadow sums and extensions

The following construction while implicit in [7] was first defined in [8]. It generalizes the extensions of [8].

**Theorem 4** (Dougherty and Solé [9]). *Let  $L$  and  $L'$  denote two Type I unimodular lattices of respective dimensions  $n$  and  $n'$ . The set*

$$L \oplus_S L' := \bigcup_{i=0}^3 L_i \times L'_i$$

*is a unimodular lattice of dimension  $n + n'$ . It is Type II if  $n + n'$  is a multiple of 8. Let  $C$  and  $C'$  denote two Type I self-dual codes over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$  of respective lengths  $n$  and  $n'$ . The set*

$$C \oplus_S C' := \bigcup_{i=0}^3 C_i \times C'_i$$

*is a self-dual code of length  $n + n'$ . It is Type II if  $n + n'$  is a multiple of 8.*

For instance:

- $\mathbf{Z}^i \oplus_S \mathbf{Z}^{8-i} = E_8$  for  $0 < i < 8$ .
- $D_{12}^+ \oplus_S D_{12}^+ =$  Niemeier lattice of root system  $D_{12}^2$ .
- $O_{23} \oplus_S \mathbf{Z} = A_{24}$  the Leech lattice.

These results give added importance to Theorems 1 and 2, since the theta series of such a lattice is easy to compute if one knows the theta series of the four cosets of  $L_0$  into  $L_0^*$  and of the four cosets of  $L'_0$  into  $L_{0'}^*$ . Specifically, if  $L$  and  $L'$  denote two Type I unimodular lattices of respective dimensions  $n$  and  $n'$ , then the theta series of their shadow sum is

$$\theta_{L \oplus_S L'} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \theta_{L_i} \theta_{L'_i}.$$

Additionally, if  $C$  and  $C'$  denote two Type I self-dual codes of respective lengths  $n$  and  $n'$ , then the *cwe* of their shadow sum is

$$\text{cwe}_{C \oplus_S C'} = \sum_{i=0}^3 \text{cwe}_{C_i} \text{cwe}_{C'_i}.$$

## 5. Constant vectors and shadows

In light of Theorem 1 we would like to know when a constant vector is contained in the shadow of a unimodular lattice. As an example we note that  $[1] = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$  is not in the shadow of any unimodular lattice formed by construction  $A_8$  from a self-dual code over  $\mathbf{Z}_8$ . Since if  $[1]$  were in the shadow of the lattice then there would exist a vector  $s$  in the shadow of the code such that  $A(s) = [1]$ , where  $A$  indicates the  $A_8$  construction. Then for some integer  $\alpha$  we have  $(1/\sqrt{8})\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  which implies that  $\sqrt{2}$  is an integer, giving a contradiction.

In general we want to know when there is a constant vector in the shadow of a code over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$ . We shall develop a general theory and apply it to this situation.



Let  $C$  be a self-dual code over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$ . We shall give an alternate definition of a shadow and call it the generalized shadow.

Let  $s$  be any vector in  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}^n$  such that  $s \in S$ ,  $s \notin C$ , and  $2s \in C$ . Define a subcode of  $C$  by

$$sC_0 = \{v \mid v \in C, [v, s] = 0\}. \quad (12)$$

The code  $sC_0$  is a subcode of index 2 in  $C$  and let  $sC_2 = C - sC_0$ . Then  $sC_0^\perp = C \cup sS = C \cup sC_1 \cup sC_3$ .

Notice that if  $L = \Lambda(C)$  is the lattice formed from  $C$  then  $\Lambda(sC_0) = \Lambda(s)L_0$  and  $\Lambda(sS) = \Lambda(s)L_1 \cup \Lambda(s)L_3$ . Specifically the  $s$ -shadow is mapped via the construction to the corresponding  $\Lambda(s)$  shadow of the lattice, i.e.  $sL_0 = \{v \mid v \cdot \Lambda(s) \in \mathbf{Z}, v \in \mathbf{L}\}$ ,  $sL_2 = sL - sL_0$ , and  $sS = sL_0^\perp - sL$ . If the vector  $s \in S$  where  $S$  is the standard shadow then  $sC_0 = C_0$  and  $sS = S$ .

Let  $\eta$  be a  $4k$ -th root of unity, i.e.  $\eta = \exp(2\pi i/4k)$ . First we compute the complete weight enumerator of the standard subcode  $C_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cwe}_{C_0}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\text{cwe}_C(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) \\ &\quad + \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \eta^{1^2}x_1, \dots, \eta^{(2k-1)^2}x_{2k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, the second summand replaces  $x_i$  with  $\eta^{i^2}x_i$ .

Let  $s$  be the constant vector  $s = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ . Let  $\mu = \exp(2\pi i/2k)$ . Now we can compute  $\text{cwe}_{sC_0}$  for this vector  $s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cwe}_{C_0}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\text{cwe}_C(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}) \\ &\quad + \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \mu^{1^\alpha}x_1, \dots, \mu^{(2k-1)^\alpha}x_{2k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, the second summand replaces  $x_i$  with  $\mu^{i^\alpha}x_i$ .

Moreover, note that for a given monomial  $x_0^{a_0}x_1^{a_1}\dots x_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}}$  representing a vector  $v$  we have  $[v, s] = 0$  if and only if

$$x_0^{a_0}x_1^{a_1}\dots x_{2k-1}^{a_{2k-1}} = x_0^{a_0}(\mu^\alpha x_1)^{a_1}\dots (\mu^{(2k-1)^\alpha}x_{2k-1})^{a_{2k-1}}.$$

Hence, if this is a weight enumerator for a subcode  $D_0$  then  $D_0 = sC_0$ .

If  $S$  contains some constant vector  $s = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$  then  $\text{cwe}_{C_0} = \text{cwe}_{sC_0}$  and therefore

$$\text{cwe}_C(x_0, \eta^{1^2}x_1, \dots, \eta^{(2k-1)^2}x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \mu^{1^\alpha}x_1, \dots, \mu^{(2k-1)^\alpha}x_{2k-1}). \quad (13)$$

**Theorem 5.** *A shadow of a self-dual code  $C$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$  has a constant vector in the shadow  $S$  if and only if Eq. (13) holds for some  $\alpha$ .*

**Example.** Let  $C$  be the self-dual code in  $\mathbf{Z}_4^2$ ,  $C = \{00, 02, 20, 22\}$ . With respect to the above  $k=1$  and in Eq. (13) we have  $\eta = \exp(2\pi i/8)$  and  $\mu = i$ . Then  $\text{cwe}_C(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_0^2 + 2x_0x_2 + x_2^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \eta^{1^2}x_1, \dots, \eta^{(2k-1)^2}x_{2k-1}) &= x_0^2 - x_0x_2 + x_2^2 \\ &= \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \mu^{1^\alpha}x_1, \dots, \mu^{(2k-1)^\alpha}x_{2k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that the shadow contains the all-one vector.

Let  $s = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ , we can compute  $\text{cwe}_{sS}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1})$  easily since  $sS = (s + C)$ , hence if  $v \in C, v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  then  $s + v = (\alpha + v_1, \dots, \alpha + v_n)$ . This gives

$$\text{cwe}_{sS}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(x_\alpha, x_{1+\alpha}, \dots, x_{2k-1+\alpha}). \quad (14)$$

Moreover, given that

$$\text{cwe}_{sC_2}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_C(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1}) - \text{cwe}_{sC_0}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1})$$

we have

$$\text{cwe}_{sC_1}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_{sC_0}(x_\alpha, x_{1+\alpha}, \dots, x_{2k-1+\alpha}) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\text{cwe}_{sC_3}(x_0, \dots, x_{2k-1}) = \text{cwe}_{sC_2}(x_\alpha, x_{1+\alpha}, \dots, x_{2k-1+\alpha}). \quad (16)$$

So if the complete weight enumerator of  $C$  is known then it is easy to compute the complete weight enumerators of  $\text{cwe}_{sC_0}$ ,  $\text{cwe}_{sC_2}$ ,  $\text{cwe}_{sC_1}$ ,  $\text{cwe}_{sC_3}$ , and  $\text{cwe}_{sS}$ . Moreover, the theta series of the corresponding lattices can also be computed.

Given  $s = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ , a corresponding vector in the induced lattice is  $1/\sqrt{2k}(\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$  is in the  $s$ -shadow of the lattice. Hence, it will be interesting to know when there exists a constant vector  $S$  such that  $s + S \in C$  for a self-dual code  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $C$  be a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  then  $(k, k, \dots, k) \in C$ .*

**Proof.** If  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  then  $xk = 0$  if  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $xk = k$  if  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Let  $v \in C$ , we have  $[v, v] = 0$ . If  $v_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  then  $v_i^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and if  $v_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  then  $v_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Hence, there are evenly many  $i$  (denote the number by  $2r$ ) such that  $v_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore  $[v, (k, k, \dots, k)] = 2rk = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *A unimodular lattice constructed from some code via construction  $A_{2k}$  contains the constant vector  $(1/\sqrt{2k})(k, k, \dots, k)$ .*

An important example of the previous corollary is that any lattice constructed from a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  contains the all-one vector.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $C$  is a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$  of length  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$  then there exists a constant vector  $s$ , such that  $s \notin C$  but  $s + s \in C$ .*

**Proof.** Theorem 6 gives that  $(2^{r-1}, 2^{r-1}, \dots, 2^{r-1}) \in C$ . There exists  $\alpha$  such that

$$(2^\alpha, 2^\alpha, \dots, 2^\alpha) \notin C$$

and

$$(2^{\alpha+1}, 2^{\alpha+1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha+1}) \in C.$$

Otherwise we would have  $(1, 1, \dots, 1) \in C$ , but

$$[(1, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 1, \dots, 1)] = n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}.$$

Hence  $s = (2^\alpha, 2^\alpha, \dots, 2^\alpha)$ .  $\square$

If  $E_n := 2\mathbf{Z}_4^n$  then  $\text{cwe}_{E_n} = (x_0 + x_2)^n$ . Computing the left hand of Eq. (13) we have  $(x_0 - x_2)^n$  and computing the right side for  $\alpha = 1$  we have  $(x_0 - x_2)^n$ . So the all one vector is in the shadow and is not in the code, i.e.  $S = sS$ , where  $s = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then the associated lattice is in the desired situation for Theorem 1.

Over  $\mathbf{Z}_{k^2}$  with  $k$  even we have the natural generalization of the  $E_n$  given where  $(k)$  generates a self-dual code of length 1 over  $\mathbf{Z}_{k^2}$ .

If  $C_n = (k) \times (k) \times \dots \times (k)$  then  $(k, k, \dots, k) \in C_n$  but  $(k/2, k/2, \dots, k/2) \notin C_n$ .

The complete weight enumerator is easily determined, i.e.

$$\text{cwe}_{C_n}(x_0, \dots, x_{k^2-1}) = (x_0 + x_k)^n.$$

The left-hand side of Eq. (13) gives  $(x_0 - x_k)^n$  since  $\eta_{2k^2}^{k^2} = -1$  and the right-hand side of Eq. (13) gives  $(x_0 - x_k)^n$  since  $\mu^{k(k/2)} = (\exp(2\pi i/k^2))^{k^2/2} = -1$ .

In general, the lattice formed under the image of this code contains the vector

$$A_{k^2} \left( \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2}} \left( \frac{k}{2}, \frac{k}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \right) = [1].$$

## 6. Formally self-dual codes

A code  $C$  is said to be formally self-dual with respect to a weight enumerator if the weight enumerator is held invariant by the MacWilliams relations.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $C$  be a Type I code over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2k}$  with odd length  $n$ . The codes  $D_1 = C_0 \cup C_1$  and  $D_3 = C_0 \cup C_3$  are formally-self dual (with respect to the symmetric or Hamming weight enumerators) non-linear codes.*

**Proof.** Let  $W_C(X)$  denote either the symmetric or Hamming weight enumerator. We note that  $W_{C_1}(X) = W_{C_3}(X) = \frac{1}{2}W_S(X)$  since  $n$  is odd. Let  $M \cdot W_C(X)$  denote the action of the variable transformation given by the MacWilliams relations. Apply the MacWilliams relations to  $W_{D_1}(X)$  and the result is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|D_1|} (M \cdot W_{D_1}(X)) &= \frac{1}{|C|} (M \cdot W_{C_0}(X) + M \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (W_{C_0^\perp}(X) - W_C(X))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_{C_0^\perp}(X) + \frac{|C_0^\perp|}{2|C|} W_{C_0}(X) - \frac{|C|}{2|C|} W_C(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_{C_0^\perp}(X) + W_{C_0}(X) - \frac{1}{2} W_C(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_C(X) + \frac{1}{2} W_S(X) + W_{C_0}(X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{C_0}(X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{C_2}(X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_{C_0}(X) + \frac{1}{2} W_{C_2}(X) + \frac{1}{2} W_S(X) + \frac{1}{2} W_{C_0}(X) - \frac{1}{2} W_{C_2}(X) \\ &= W_{C_0}(X) + \frac{1}{2} W_S(X) \\ &= W_{D_1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The same computation holds for  $D_3$ , since  $W_{D_1}(X) = W_{D_3}(X)$ . The code is non-linear since the glue group is the cyclic group of order 4.  $\square$

As a simple example we take the self-dual code of length 1. Then  $D_1 = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\text{swe}_{D_1} = x_0x_1$ . Note that applying the MacWilliams relations results in  $x_0^1x_1^1$ , but that the same is not true for the complete weight enumerator.

Let the minimum weight of  $C_i$  be denoted by  $d_i$  then this theorem is especially useful when  $d_2 < d_i$  for  $i=0, 1, 3$ . Then a code is produced with higher minimum weight than the self-dual code with a weight enumerator that satisfies the MacWilliams relations.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $C$  be a Type I code of odd length, with  $D_1$  and  $D_3$  as defined above, then  $A_{2k}(D_1)$  and  $A_{2k}(D_3)$  are sphere packings whose theta series are held invariant by the Poisson formula, that is*

$$\Theta_L(z) = (\det L)^{1/2} \left( \frac{i}{z} \right)^{n/2} \Theta_L \left( \frac{-1}{z} \right)$$

and whose minimum norm is  $\min\{2k, d_E(D_i)\}$  where  $d_E(D_1)$  is the minimum Euclidean weight of  $D_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

We computed the swe of FSD codes obtained from cyclic self-dual  $\mathbf{Z}_4$  codes of [11]. Some have a better minimum weight than the self-dual codes of the same length [12, Table XVI, p. 279]. This is the case for lengths 7, 15, 23 and 47. Based on the following data and polarization computations akin to [2], we conjecture that the codewords of fixed Lee composition support  $t$ -designs with

- $t = 2$  for lengths 7, 15, 21, 31, 47.
- $t = 3$  for length 23.

Borrowing the notations of [11], we give the parameters of our formally self-dual codes in lengths 7, 15, 21, 23, 31, 35 and 47. Until length 23, we use a “\*” when the parameter is better than any one known for this length.

- Length 7

From the only non-trivial cyclic self-dual code  $C(7, 4^3 2, 4)$ , we construct a formally self-dual code with  $d_H = 4^*$ ,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 5^*$ ,  $d_E = 7^*$  and

$$\text{swe} := a^7 + 7a^3c^4 + 42a^2b^4c + 14c^3b^4 + 28a^3cb^3 + 28ac^3b^3 + 8b^7.$$

- Length 15

From the only non-trivial cyclic self-dual code  $C(15, 4^4 2^7, 6)$ , we construct a formally self-dual code with  $d_H = 4^*$ ,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 7^*$ ,  $d_E = 7$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{swe} := & 105a^{11}c^4 + 280a^9c^6 + 435a^7c^8 + 168a^5c^{10} + 35a^3c^{12} + 3360c^6b^7a^2 \\ & + a^{15} + 5040b^8a^5c^2 + 8400b^8a^3c^4 + 1680b^8ac^6 + 3360a^6b^7c^2 \\ & + 8400a^4b^7c^4 + 120a^8b^7 + 120c^8b^7 + 1024b^{15} + 240b^8a^7. \end{aligned}$$

- Length 21

There are four inequivalent non-trivial cyclic self-dual codes:  $C_1 := C_{21,1}(21, 4^6 2^9, 6)$ ,  $C_2 := C_{21,2}(21, 4^3 2^{15}, 4)$ ,  $C_3 := C_{21,3}(21, 4^9 2^3, 4)$  and an other one  $C_4$  generated by  $(fh, 2fg)$  with  $f := f_1 f_2^*$ ,  $h := x^3 - 1$  and  $fgh = x^{21} - 1$  with the notation of [11]. We obtain:

Code	$d_E$	$d_{Lee}$	$d_H$
$C_1$	8	8	4
$C_2$	8	4	2
$C_3$	8	6	4
$C_4$	5	5	5

- Length 23

From the only non-trivial cyclic self-dual code  $C(23, 4^{11} 2, 10)$ , we construct a formally self-dual code with  $d_H = 8^*$ ,  $d_{Lee} = 11^*$ ,  $d_E = 15^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{swe} := & a^{23} + 8096b^{16}a^7 + 506a^{15}c^8 + 1288a^{11}c^{12} + 253a^7c^{16} \\
 & + 127512a^{10}b^7c^6 + 2024c^{14}b^7a^2 + 8096b^{15}a^8 + 2576b^{12}c^{11} \\
 & + 8096b^{15}c^8 + 202400a^8b^7c^8 + 226688b^{15}a^6c^2 \\
 & + 28336a^4c^{12}b^7 + 1020096b^{11}a^7c^5 + 170016b^{16}a^5c^2 \\
 & + 566720b^{15}a^4c^4 + 15456b^{11}a^{11}c + 1020096b^{11}a^5c^7 + 15456b^{11}c^{11}a \\
 & + 56672b^{16}ac^6 + 127512c^{10}b^7a^6 + 283360b^{16}a^3c^4 + 28336b^{12}a^{10}c \\
 & + 226688b^{15}c^6a^2 + 2024a^{14}b^7c^2 + 425040b^{12}a^8c^3 + 850080b^{12}a^4c^7 \\
 & + 1190112b^{12}a^6c^5 + 318780b^8a^9c^6 + 85008b^8a^{11}c^4 + 7084b^8a^{13}c^2 \\
 & + 141680b^{12}a^2c^9 + 28336a^{12}c^4b^7 + 404800b^8a^7c^8 + 28336b^8a^3c^{12} \\
 & + 191268b^8a^5c^{10} + 283360b^{11}c^9a^3 + 283360b^{11}a^9c^3 \\
 & + 2048b^{23} + 1012b^8ac^{14}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Length 31

There are five inequivalent non-trivial cyclic self-dual codes:  $C_1 := C_{31,1}(31, 4^5 2^{21}, 6)$ ,  $C_2 := C_{31,2}(31, 4^{10} 2^{11}, 10)$ ,  $C_3 := C_{31,3}(31, 4^{10} 2^{11}, 10)$ ,  $C_4 := C_{31,4}(31, 4^{15} 2, 12)$  and  $C_5 := C_{31,5}(31, 4^{15} 2, 12)$  with the notation of [11]. The codes  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  have the same symmetric weight enumerator as do  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ . We obtain:

Code	$d_E$	$d_{Lee}$	$d_H$
$C_1$	15	8	4
$C_2, C_3$	15	12	6
$C_4, C_5$	15	13	8

- Length 35

There exist four inequivalent cyclic self-dual codes. We have, borrowing the notations of [11]:

Codes	Generators	$d_{\text{Lee}}$	$d_{\text{E}}$	$d_{\text{H}}$	$t$ -design
1	$f_3 f_{12} h_0, 2f_3 f_{12} f_3^* f_{12}^*$	4	4	3	$t = 1$
2	$f_3^* f_{12} h_0, 2f_3^* f_{12} f_3 f_{12}^*$	8	8	6	$t = 1$
3	$f_3^* f_3 h_0 f_{12}, 2f_{12} f_{12}^*$	6	8	3	$t = 1$
4	$f_3 f_{12} f_{12}^* h_0, 2f_3 f_3^*$	4	8	2	$t = 1$

and we obtain four formally self-dual codes with minimum weights, respectively,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 6$ ,  $d_{\text{E}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{H}} = 4$  for the first code,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{E}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{H}} = 6$  for the second code,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{E}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{H}} = 4$  for the third code and  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 4$ ,  $d_{\text{E}} = 8$ ,  $d_{\text{H}} = 2$  for the fourth code. Their symmetric weight enumerators can be polarized at most one time. This indicates that these codes cannot contain  $t$ -design with  $t > 1$ .

- Length 39

There is a unique non-trivial self-dual cyclic code  $((fh, 2ff^*))$  in the notation of [11]). From this code, we construct a formally self-dual code. The symmetric weight enumerators of the two codes can be polarized at most one time. Their parameters are:

cyclic code	FSD code
$d_{\text{H}} = 3$	$d_{\text{H}} = 6$
$d_{\text{Lee}} = 6$	$d_{\text{Lee}} = 12$
$d_{\text{E}} = 12$	$d_{\text{E}} = 15$

- Length 47

We construct a formally self-dual code from the quadratic residue code over  $Z_4$ ) with minimum weight, respectively,  $d_{\text{Lee}} = 17$ ,  $d_{\text{E}} = 23$ ,  $d_{\text{H}} = 12$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{swe} := & 356730a^{31}c^{16} + 2330636a^{27}c^{20} + 12972a^{35}c^{12} + 4324c^{36}a^{11} \\
 & + 3840840a^{23}c^{24} + 1664740c^{28}a^{19} + 178365c^{32}a^{15} + a^{47} \\
 & + 1061836032a^{22}b^{23}c^2 + 745803520a^{19}b^{27}c + 5876246816c^{21}a^{15}b^{11} \\
 & + 634538352c^{25}a^{11}b^{11} + 7387648b^{24}a^{23} + 311328b^{20}c^{27} \\
 & + 53271680b^{28}c^{19} + 91322880b^{32}a^{15} + 35422208b^{36}c^{11} \\
 & + 1163320312b^{12}c^{25}a^{10} + 28743591096b^{12}a^{18}c^{17} \\
 & + 25717949928b^{12}a^{16}c^{19} + 10654336b^{12}c^{29}a^6 \\
 & + 259440b^{12}c^{31}a^4 + 44139392b^{12}a^{28}c^7 + 14690617040b^{12}a^{14}c^{21} \\
 & + 9354057312b^{12}a^{22}c^{13} + 20566863856b^{12}a^{20}c^{15} + 444654216b^{12}a^{26}c^9
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 95128b^{12}a^{32}c^3 + 5287075872b^{12}c^{23}a^{12} + 1608528b^{12}a^{30}c^5 \\
& + 2643909800b^{12}a^{24}c^{11} + 8648b^{12}c^{33}a^2 + 148218072b^{12}c^{27}a^8 \\
& + 1883169108480b^{24}a^7c^{16} + 176972672b^{24}ac^{22} \\
& + 10386094688256b^{24}a^{11}c^{12} + 6277489109760b^{24}a^9c^{14} \\
& + 258497022080b^{24}a^5c^{18} + 8788484753664b^{24}a^{13}c^{10} \\
& + 68005104640b^{24}a^{19}c^4 + 775491066240b^{24}a^{17}c^6 \\
& + 3766338216960b^{24}a^{15}c^8 + 1946699392b^{24}a^{21}c^2 \\
& + 13601020928b^{24}a^3c^{20} + 7335233600b^{16}c^{24}a^7 \\
& + 393286739120b^{16}a^{19}c^{12} + 90198640b^{16}a^{27}c^4 \\
& + 22005700800b^{16}a^{23}c^8 + 123589156064b^{16}a^{21}c^{10} \\
& + 471246816b^{16}c^{26}a^5 + 2042069536b^{16}a^{25}c^6 \\
& + 837531264768b^{16}a^{15}c^{16} + 1037760b^{16}a^{29}c^2 + 12885520b^{16}c^{28}a^3 \\
& + 235972043472b^{16}c^{20}a^{11} + 56176889120b^{16}c^{22}a^9 \\
& + 739098898560b^{16}a^{17}c^{14} + 574854698880b^{16}c^{18}a^{13} + 69184b^{16}c^{30}a \\
& + 8405856b^{20}a^{26}c + 101596704b^{20}c^{25}a^2 \\
& + 5064465559296b^{20}a^{12}c^{15} + 86214886912b^{20}c^{21}a^6 \\
& + 646822836000b^{20}c^{19}a^8 + 258644660736b^{20}a^{20}c^7 \\
& + 1365514876000b^{20}a^{18}c^9 + 846639200b^{20}a^{24}c^3 \\
& + 5843614106880b^{20}a^{14}c^{13} + 5118232320b^{20}c^{23}a^4 \\
& + 23543868672b^{20}a^{22}c^5 + 2457673355808b^{20}a^{10}c^{17} \\
& + 3798222458976b^{20}a^{16}c^{11} + 4026380117760b^{28}a^8c^{11} \\
& + 1012161920b^{28}a^{18}c + 4921131255040b^{28}a^{10}c^9 \\
& + 9109457280b^{28}c^{17}a^2 + 206481031680b^{28}c^{15}a^4 \\
& + 2684253411840b^{28}a^{12}c^7 + 619443095040b^{28}a^{14}c^5 \\
& + 1445367221760b^{28}c^{13}a^6 + 51620257920b^{28}a^{16}c^3 \\
& + 274242608640b^{32}a^5c^{10} + 41551910400b^{32}a^3c^{12} \\
& + 124655731200b^{32}a^{11}c^4 + 1369843200b^{32}ac^{14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 457071014400b^{32}a^9c^6 + 587662732800b^{32}a^7c^8 \\
& + 9588902400b^{32}a^{13}c^2 + 16365060096b^{36}c^5a^6 \\
& + 389644288b^{36}a^{10}c + 5844664320b^{36}a^8c^3 \\
& + 11689328640b^{36}c^7a^4 + 1948221440b^{36}c^9a^2 \\
& + 166207641600a^4b^{31}c^{12} + 9076923504a^{19}c^{17}b^{11} \\
& + 9076923504a^{17}c^{19}b^{11} + 3113280a^{27}b^{19}c \\
& + 98812048c^{27}b^{11}a^9 + 311328c^{31}a^5b^{11} \\
& + 2440188864a^{13}c^{23}b^{11} + 42081583104c^5a^7b^{35} \\
& + 9132288c^{29}a^7b^{11} + 17296c^{33}b^{11}a^3 \\
& + 2824753662720a^8b^{23}c^{16} + 637599744b^{35}c^{11}a \\
& + 637599744b^{35}a^{11}c + 17296a^{33}c^3b^{11} \\
& + 2440188864a^{23}c^{13}b^{11} + 3693824a^{24}b^{23} \\
& + 516994044160a^{18}b^{23}c^6 + 44941511296c^{10}a^{22}b^{15} \\
& + 40803062784a^{20}b^{23}c^4 + 25771040a^{28}b^{15}c^4 \\
& + 7532986931712a^{10}b^{23}c^{14} + 98812048c^9a^{27}b^{11} \\
& + 328488399360c^{18}a^{14}b^{15} + 2234248505280c^{11}a^{17}b^{19} \\
& + 44941511296a^{10}c^{22}b^{15} + 157314695648c^{20}a^{12}b^{15} \\
& + 276736c^{30}a^2b^{15} + 42510800640a^3c^{17}b^{27} \\
& + 9132288c^7a^{29}b^{11} + 7335233600c^8a^{24}b^{15} \\
& + 2824753662720c^8a^{16}b^{23} + 3895742737920c^{15}a^{13}b^{19} \\
& + 157314695648a^{20}c^{12}b^{15} + 634538352a^{25}c^{11}b^{11} \\
& + 7532986931712c^{10}a^{14}b^{23} + 7335233600c^{24}a^8b^{15} \\
& + 11689328640a^9c^3b^{35} + 10386094688256c^{12}a^{12}b^{23} \\
& + 42081583104b^{35}c^7a^5 + 11689328640b^{35}c^9a^3 \\
& + 25771040c^{28}a^4b^{15} + 628329088c^{26}a^6b^{15} \\
& + 123164124160c^{21}a^7b^{19} + 718692040000c^{19}a^9b^{19} \\
& + 328488399360a^{18}b^{15}c^{14} + 10236464640c^{23}a^5b^{19}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + 516994044160c^{18}b^{23}a^6 + 5876246816a^{21}c^{15}b^{11} \\
& + 311328a^{31}c^5b^{11} + 628329088a^{26}c^6b^{15} \\
& + 3895742737920c^{13}a^{15}b^{19} + 40803062784c^{20}b^{23}a^4 \\
& + 418765632384a^{16}c^{16}b^{15} + 3113280c^{27}b^{19}a \\
& + 1061836032c^{22}b^{23}a^2 + 338655680c^{25}b^{19}a^3 \\
& + 3693824c^{24}b^{23} + 2234248505280a^{11}b^{19}c^{17} \\
& + 123164124160a^{21}b^{19}c^7 + 718692040000a^{19}c^9b^{19} \\
& + 8388608b^{47} + 10236464640a^{23}b^{19}c^5 \\
& + 338655680a^{25}b^{19}c^3 + 276736a^{30}c^2b^{15} \\
& + 2890734443520a^7c^{13}b^{27} + 2890734443520c^7b^{27}a^{13} \\
& + 745803520c^{19}b^{27}a + 42510800640a^{17}b^{27}c^3 \\
& + 578146888704a^5b^{27}c^{15} + 6263257960960c^9b^{27}a^{11} \\
& + 6263257960960c^{11}b^{27}a^9 + 578146888704a^{15}b^{27}c^5 \\
& + 731313623040a^6c^{10}b^{31} + 10958745600a^2c^{14}b^{31} \\
& + 166207641600c^4b^{31}a^{12} + 91322880a^{16}b^{31} \\
& + 91322880c^{16}b^{31} + 10958745600a^{14}b^{31}c^2 \\
& + 731313623040c^6b^{31}a^{10} + 1175325465600c^8b^{31}a^8.
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, S.T. Dougherty, M. Harada, M. Oura, Type II codes, even unimodular lattices, and invariant rings, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 45 (4) (1999) 1194–1205.
- [2] A. Bonnetcaze, E.M. Rains, P. Solé, 3-Colored 5-Designs and  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ -codes, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 86 (2) (2000) 349–368.
- [3] Y. Choie, S.T. Dougherty, H. Kim, Complete joint weight enumerators and self-dual codes, to appear in *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*.
- [4] Y. Choie, N.-S. Kim, The complete weight enumerator of a Type II code over  $\mathbf{Z}_{2m}$  and Jacobi forms, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 47 (2001) 396–399.
- [5] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, A new upper bound on the minimum distance of self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 36 (1990) 1319–1333.
- [6] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, A new upper bound for the minimum of an integral lattice of determinant 1, *Bull. AMS* 23 (2) (1990) 383–387.
- [7] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd Edition, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [8] S.T. Dougherty, M. Harada, P. Solé, Shadow lattices and shadow codes, *Discrete Math.* 219 (2000) 49–64.

- [9] S.T. Dougherty, P. Solé, Shadow of codes and lattices, submitted to Proceedings to Third Asian Math Conference, 2000.
- [10] M. Eichler, D. Zagier, The Theory of Jacobi forms, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1985.
- [11] V. Pless, P. Solé, Z. Qian, Cyclic self-dual  $Z_4$ -codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 3 (1997) 48–69.
- [12] E.M. Rains, N.J.A. Sloane, in: V. Pless, W.C. Huffman (Eds.), *Handbook of Coding Theory*, North-Holland, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.